#### Page 1 of 4

## ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

### Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- OA-274 of 2018.</u>

	Lakshikanta Mahato. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
04 27/09/2018	For the Applicant : Mr. Rabendra Nath Bag, Advocate. For the State Respondents: Mr. Manujendra Narayan Ro Mr. Arindram Mitra, Advocates.	y,
	<ul> <li>The instant application has been praying for following relief;</li> <li>a) Direction be issued directing the Managing Director, West Bengal Forest Development Corporation Limited to withdraw/cancel/revoke the impugned order of suspension dated 6.06.2014 annexure A 10 to the application mentioned above.</li> <li>b) Direction be issued directing the respondents to grant the applicant revised subsistence allowance since 5.09.2014 till the day of revocation of impugned order of suspension and to permit the applicant to be retired on superannuation and to grant leave to the applicant to prefer appeal, statutory or</li> </ul>	

### **ORDER SHEET**

Lakshikanta Mahato .

Form No.

# Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-274	of 2018.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	departmental proceedings goes against	
	the applicant.	
	c) Cost or costs be paid by the respondents.	
	Any other or further order or orders	
	and/or direction or directions be issued	
	as to this learned tribunal may deem fit	
	and proper."	
	According to the applicant, he was put under	
	suspension vide order dated 6.6.14 by the West	
	Bengal Forest Development Corporation Limited as	
	the applicant is the permanent employee of the	
	Department of Forest, West Bengal. In the	
	meantime, he retired on 31st May 2018 and his	
	order of suspension was revoked on 15 <sup>th</sup> May 2018.	
	Let the order be kept on record. Therefore, prayer	
	(a) of the instant application has become infructuous.	
	However, as per the applicant his suspension order	
	was neither reviewed nor subsistence allowance	
	has been enhanced and paid to him till date.	
	Therefore, he has prayed for a direction to the	
	authority to pay him enhanced subsistence	
	allowance.	
	The counsel for the respondent, however, has	
	admitted that the suspension order has already	
	been revoked as claimed by the counsel for the	
	applicant. According to him since he has retired	

## **ORDER SHEET**

Lakshikanta Mahato .

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	there is no scope of enhancement to the subsistence	
	allowance.	
	Heard the parties and perused the records.	
	As the suspension has already been revoked by the	
	order dated 15.05.2018 therefore, prayer (a) has	
	become infructuous. However, with regard to the	
	review of the suspension order as well as payment	
	of revised subsistence allowance, it is noted that the	
	applicant was suspended on 06.06.2014 under Rule	
	7(1)(a) and 12(1) of the West Bengal Services (CCA)	
	Rules 1971. As per Rules 7(1) of the W.B.S.R.(CCA)	
	Rules 1971, he was put under suspension in	
	contemplation of disciplinary proceeding for which	
	the authority is to review the suspension order	
	within 90 days from the date of suspension as per	
	Rule 71(4) iii of the WBSR. However, in the instant	
	case as per the claim of the applicant no such review	
	had been done by the authority with regard to his	
	suspension. Even no revised subsistence allowance	
	were paid to the applicant. In view of the above, we	
	direct the respondent No.2 to revisit the revision of	
	the subsistence allowance as the applicant has	
	already retired from services after revocation of his	
	suspension on 15.05.2018 and to take decision and	
	make payment thereof by way of passing a	
	reasoned and speaking order within a period of four	
	1	

Case No. <u>OA-274 of 2018</u>.

Form No.

## **ORDER SHEET**

Lakshikanta Mahato.

....

### Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA-274 of 2018.</u>					
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature			
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary			
<b>L</b>	(0.4) module $(0.4)$	3			
	(04) months.				
	In view of above, the OA is <b>disposed of</b> with				
	the above observation and direction with no order as				
	to cost.				
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)				

Case No. OA-274 of 2018.

Form No.